

राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com



(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Ar.Pr./Civil Work/D-B/

Date: 25.09.2020

To

All Respective Bidders,

Subject:- Construction of two lane with hard shoulders of Demwe-Brahmkund section of NH-13 from Ch 0.000 Km to 18.464 in the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode under NH(O)-NE

Reference Tender ID: **2020_NHIDC_569755_1**

Sir,

Please refer to bid submitted for the subject cited above. The following is the result of technical evaluation. The minutes of technical evaluation is enclosed.

S. No.	Name of Bidders	Status
1.	M/s Brahmaputra Infrastructure Limited	Technically Responsive
2.	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited India	Technically Responsive
3.	M/s TSR Nirmaan Private Limited	Technically Responsive
4.	M/s RSM Infra JV M/s Ashok Chauhan & Company	Technically Responsive
5.	M/s Sudhakara Infratech Pvt. Ltd. JV M/s LNS Infrastructures	Technically Responsive
6.	M/s Ramera Buildcon Pvt. Ltd JV M/s Hindustan Project	Technically Responsive
7.	M/s Vag Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shurusti Contech Pvt Ltd	Technically Responsive
8.	M/s Sri Ram enterprises JV Allied Infrastructures & Projects Ltd.	Technically Responsive
9.	M/s Tamchi Kusk	Technically Non-Responsive

2. Financial bid of technical responsive bidders shall be opened on 28.09.2020 at 1600 hrs in NHIDCL, HQ, 3rd floor, PTI building, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001.


A.K. Jha
General Manager (Tech.)

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2nd Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for "Construction of two lane with hard shoulders of Demwe-Brahmkund section of NH-13 from Ch 0.000 Km to 18.464 in the state of Arunachal Pradesh on EPC Mode under NH(O)-NE" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at 1500 Hrs on 25.09.2020.

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date as 14.09.2020 at 1100 hrs.

2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.

- (i) M/s Brahmaputra Infrastructure Limited
- (ii) M/s Valecha Engineering Limited India
- (iii) M/s TSR Nirmaan Private Limited
- (iv) M/s RSM Infra JV M/s Ashok Chauhan & Company
- (v) M/s Sudhakara Infratech Pvt. Ltd. JV M/s LNS Infrastructures
- (vi) M/s Ramera Buildcon Pvt. Ltd JV M/s Hindustan Project
- (vii) M/s Vag Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shurusti Contech Pvt Ltd
- (viii) M/s Sri Ram enterprises JV Allied Infrastructures & Projects Ltd.
- (ix) M/s Tamchi Kusk

3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 260.60 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	260.60
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	390.90
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	234.54
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	78.18
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	13.03
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 , the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	26.06
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	26.06
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	13.03
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.82
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	2.61
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	52.12
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	31.27
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.42
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	130.30
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	78.18
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	26.06

4. **The Evaluation Committee** during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the Bidders are not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the Bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)**, in its meeting dated 22.09.2020 has decided that the clarification be sought from the respective bidders and accordingly bidders were requested to submit the clarifications by 24.09.2020.

5. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** held on 22.09.2020, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2nd meeting held on 25.09.2020. The Committee observed that most of the bidders have submitted the financial capacity such as turnover and Net worth of FY 2018-19, FY 2017-18, FY 2016-17, FY 2015-16 & FY 2014-15 and not submitted the undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8(ii). Accordingly, the Committee considered the financial account of FY 2018-19 to 2015-16 for such bidders who have not submitted the Audited Financial account of 2019-20. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received from the bidder	Comments of the Committee
1	M/s Brahmaputra Infrastructure Limited	(i) Financial Audited Balance sheet of year 2019-2020 has not been provided, though Appendix X & XI been submitted. It is requested to provide the same. (ii) It has been observed that the client certificates for few projects are missing, if the certificate has been submitted kindly mention the page no on which the certificates are attached. Bidder to clarify	(i) Financial Audited Balance sheet of year 2019-2020 and Appendix X & XI has been submitted. (ii) Certificate provided by the bidder.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible, hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
2	M/s Ramera Buildcon Pvt. Ltd JV M/s Hindustan Project	(i) M/s Ramera Buildcon Pvt. Ltd has not provided Appendix-X & XI. It is requested to provide the same. (ii) M/s Hindustan Project has not provided Audited Balance sheet of FY 2015-2020, if the certificate has been submitted kindly mention the page no on which the certificates are attached.	(i) Statutory Auditor's Certificate of Net Worth and Turnover as per RFP Appendix-X and XI submitted by Bidder. (ii) M/s Hindustan Project has provided Audited Balance sheet of FY 2015-2020	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible, hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

Ajay




3	M/s Sri Ram enterprises JV Allied Infrastructures & Projects Ltd.	(i) M/s Sri Ram enterprises has not provided UDIN number on the Statutory Auditor Certificates. (ii) M/s Sri Ram enterprises has not provided Appendix-X & XI. (iii) Client certificates for additional experience for bridge projects provided by M/s Sri Ram enterprises are not legible. Bidder to submit legible certificates.	(i & ii) Statutory Auditor's Certificate of Net Worth and Turnover as per RFP Appendix-X and XI submitted by Bidder. (iii) Client certificates for additional experience for bridge projects has been provided by the bidder.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible, hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
4	M/s RSM Infra JV M/s Ashok Chauhan & Company	(i) Client certificates for additional experience for bridge projects provided by Ashok Chauhan & Company are not legible. Bidder to submit legible certificates.	(i) Client certificates for additional experience for bridge projects has been provided by the bidder.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

6. Committee observed that the bidder M/s Tamchi Kujuk has claimed the Technical threshold capacity as 41.81 Cr, whereas the minimum Technical threshold capacity required as per Clause 2.2.2.2 (i) is Rs. 390.90 Cr. Hence the committee decided the bid is Technically Non-responsive.

7. Besides, the bidders mentioned in para 5 & 6 above, Four bidders i.e. M/s Valecha Engineering Limited India, M/s TSR Nirmaan Private Limited, M/s Sudhakara Infratech Pvt. Ltd. JV M/s LNS Infrastructures & M/s Vag Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shurusti Contech Pvt Ltd have been found to be Technically responsive by the committee.

8. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders is as per the report attached as Annexure -I.


9. The **Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)** in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s Brahmaputra Infrastructure Limited	Technically Responsive	0
2	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited India	Technically Responsive	1
3	M/s TSR Nirmaan Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
4	M/s RSM Infra JV M/s Ashok Chauhan & Company	Technically Responsive	1- RSM Infra
5	M/s Sudhakara Infratech Pvt. Ltd. JV M/s LNS Infrastructures	Technically Responsive	1- Sudhakara Infratech Pvt. Ltd.
6	M/s Ramera Buildcon Pvt. Ltd JV M/s Hindustan Project	Technically Responsive	0
7	M/s Vag Buildtech Limited JV M/s Shurusti	Technically Responsive	0

	Contech Pvt Ltd		
8	M/s Sri Ram enterprises JV Allied Infrastructures & Projects Ltd.	Technically Responsive ✓	0
9	M/s Tamchi Kujuk	Technically Non-Responsive	0

10. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 8 technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.




Ajay Ahulwalia
(ED-I)
Chairman



A.K. Singh
(GM-Tech)
Member



A.K. Jha
(GM-Tech)
Member



Bhaskar Mallick
Manager -Fin.
Member